Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/05/18 : CIA-RDP85-00142R000100030007-3

		- 1 to 1	0 10	183
TRANSMIT	TTAL SLIP	DATE	15 DEC 10	
TO: Direc	tor, ODP			
ROOM NO. 2D0105	BUILDING Ho	lqs	***************************************	
REMARKS:				
				ľ
	•			l
		,		
				. [
FROM:				
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENSIO	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/05/18 : CIA-RDP85-00142R000100030007-3

ER 83-6018

15 December 1983

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Information Systems Board Members
25X1	FROM:	
		Executive Secretary to the Board
	SUBJECT:	Minutes of 17 November 1983 Meeting of the Information Systems Board
!	1. The Inf	ormation Systems Board met on Thursday,
	narticipants inc	Charles Briggs (ExDir) presided and eluded Board members: Danny Childs (Compt).
25X1	par trerpants The	William Donnelly
25X1		, Philip Eckman
	(D/ORD), Rutledg	e Hazzard (D/NPIC), (DD/OD&E for
25X1	Robert Kohler).	Clarus Rice
25 X 1	(D/OCR),	(C/IMS) and (C/ASG). The
25X1	Group attended:	duals representing Workstation Environment Working (C/S&TB/ASG). Chairman:
25X1	(C/TB/ED/ODP):	(C) Skib/ASG). Chairman:
0EV4		
25X1		1
25X1	attended.	11 so
	Z. Mr. Bri	ggs opened the meeting announcing that the minutes
	and would be sen	d meeting of 17 October 1983 were not yet completed to the members shortly. (Those minutes were
	distributed on 3	November 1983.) He then turned the meeting over
25X1	to	Chairman of the Workstation Environment Working
	Group, who brief	ed the Board on the Working Group's "Interim Report
25X1	Combining Wor	d and Data Processing in a Single Terminal."
25X1	•	
20/(1	3. Group in attenda	introduced the four other members of the Working
	Board's reaction	nce and explained that the Working Group needed the s in order to make the final report more
	responsive. He	further commented that the Working Group felt
	pressured to giv	e the Board some analysis of the issue and the range
	of options quick	ly and thus focused their effort on the near term
	1983 to 1988. B	ecause of the press of time, the group was forced to
	omit user survey	S, requirements studies, cost-benefit analysis and
	coordination. V	irtually all components of the Agency interested in
	felt its "college	e represented on the group, however, so the group
25X1	need for coordin	tive consciousness" adequate, possibly obviating the ation and surveys.
ZUKI		ation and surveys.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/05/18 : CIA-RDP85-00142R000100030007-3

25X1

25X1 25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

the	report had been written by six individuals and thanked
the	
	in particular, for melding the work of the separate authors
THEO	one coherent, readable document.
	A Pathon than summanising the monant and naitonating it-
	4. Rather than summarizing the report and reiterating its
reco	mmendations since the Board members had read the document
•	instead called the attention of the Board to a few
poin	ts:
	a. The long-term options and recommendations should be
	considered as tentative and could well change in the final
	report, but the short term options and recommendations should
	be considered final. The Working Group felt that additional
	effort would produce no new options, nor another
	recommendation.
	b. The third purpose of the report to provide an input
	to the ODP's RFP (Request for Proposal) for a follow-on
	terminal to the current Delta Data contract is at least as
	important as the first two the need to inform the Board of
	the group's initial findings and to communicate ideas to the
	other working groups (page 2, paragraph 2).
	toner worming groups (page 1) paragraps 2/0
	noted that the 300 "other types" of
	terminals in Figure 1 (page 7) included about 220 personal
	computers. He suggested that the "functional gaps" in the
	Agency's VDTs (page 8, paragraph 5) neither the Delta Data
	nor the Wang creates a true desk-top environment may be one
	explanation for the popularity of personal computers. Speaking
	of other care
	of other gaps, noted the Working Group's strong
	conviction that training is inadequate, particularly on-line
	automated assistance largely because there is no single
	focal point with responsibility for training.
	d In diamentum Aba muchlana af a saud bauafid auslaus
	d. In <u>discussing</u> the problems of a cost-benefit analysis
	(page 10), suggested that cost is relative and that
	it is virtually impossible to quantify the benefits of one
	automation plan over another, or even of automating in general,
	because automation changes the way people do their work. He
	suggested that a comparison of equipment costs to the salaries
	of the people who use the equipment might help. He observed
	that we routinely pay 7-10 percent of a secretary's salary for
	a typewriter, and that the same proportion of a professional
	employee's salary would indicate a \$2,500 to \$4,000 terminal.
	The state of the s
	e. Referring to the long-term options portion of the
	report, mentioned the Working Group's opinion that
	the terminal should ultimately be the device connecting
	communications, memory, processing, and Artificial Intelligence
	(AI) for the user. As such, certain capabilities of that
	terminal are essential: bit mapped display to improve the
	quality of graphics and images, multiple windows to permit
	quarrey or graphics and images, multiple windows to permit

25X1	several functions simultaneously, and end-user programming to help reduce the applications backlog in ODP.
25 X 1	f. AI programs, with the potential for greatly increasing analytical productivity, are not designed to run on the IBM mainframes which are the basis of the Agency's ADP system. Such programs require special "LISP" machines, some of which qualify as personal computers.
	quarity as personal computers.
25X1	Group for preparing a relatively complete and thoroughly readable analysis by the deadline set. He pointed out that the report paralleled many of the ideas expressed at the Wang Executive Seminar he had attended the previous week. The remarks of Dr. James McKenny of Harvard — that a new era in computing is emerging in which the user is becoming increasingly involved, even to the point of designing his own workstation, and that the role of the information manager should be to support such change — were particularly apropos. Mr. Briggs explained that ADP technicians should not dread the increased involvement of the users, recalling that operations officers once feared they would be made obsolete by new collection technologies when, in fact, the value of HUMINT has never been more
25X1	certain.
25 X 1	6. Mr. Briggs then asked the Working Group why overseas terminals had been omitted from the report.
25 X 1	Mr. Briggs also asked the status of tempest requirements for the new and old buildings. Mr. Donnelly stated that the new building would be shielded and thus tempesting would be redundant. He also stated that a number of exceptions had been made to the tempest requirement for the present headquarters building, but that such exceptions could not be made for buildings outside the Headquarters compound. Mr. Briggs questioned the status of the Local Area Network (LAN) and Mr. Donnelly replied that a LAN would be installed in the new building and that OC has money to study
25 X 1	putting a LAN in the old building as well.
25 X 1	7. Mr. Briggs asked to brief the Board on
25 X 1	the minority opinion contained in her memorandum to the Board of 16 November 1983. took issue with two points in the Working Group report, and suggested that:
	a. Within the third option (page 15), augmenting Host-Based Word Processing, the implication that the number of Wang terminals be reduced is contrary to the needs of several Agency components and should be deleted.
	b. The short-term recommendation (page 17) to meet new terminal requirements with personal computers should not be

large Agency ADP projects can be made.

endorsed by the Board before more detailed technical studies of requirements, security, system integration, and the impact on

8. Several Board members complimented the Working Group's report for its organization, quality, and lack of jargon. Mr. Rice pointed out that the group did exactly what it was asked to do -- an assessment of the desirability of and options for merging word and data processing in a single terminal -- and lauded the group for producing the report within the allotted, albeit short, time frame of three months. Mr. Childs asked if the recommendation to use personal computers would require a fundamental change in the Agency's computer architecture. In answer, Mr. Briggs read the following section from the report:

... the ultimate solution involves a fundamental change in the Agency's computer architecture, away from a centralized computing and file-server system, toward a decentralized computing base retaining the centralized file maintenance function. It may be only small consolation, but this solution is not unique to CIA; it is a world-wide trend in how large computer complexes work (page 14, paragraph 2).

agreed that fu	ll implementation of the Working Group'
recommendation would crea	te restructuring of the present ADP
architecture.	•

- 9. Mr. Briggs stated that the Board should be aware that a decision to adopt the Working Group's recommendation to use personal computers as a way to combine word and data processing would have a significant impact on Agency computing in general.

 suggested that the Board should not decide such a "fundamental and important" issue solely on the basis of "one interim report from one working group." He agreed with recommendation that further detailed, technical analysis should be done. Mr. Briggs again thanked the Working Group for its efforts and asked the members to leave the meeting so that the Board could discuss the report in camera.
- 10. During the ensuing discussion, asked how many workstations the Board was talking about, since he had never seen an official Agency commitment to put a terminal on every desk. Mr. Childs felt that many employees have no need for a terminal and a study should be made of the categories of CIA functions that do require computing capabilities, but Mr. Briggs suggested that one terminal for every desk probably is the "ultimate suggested that the operant word is "desk" -couriers, drivers, and similar occupations have no need for a terminal, but any employee currently using a desk, telephone, and typewriter (or paper and pencil) eventually will need a terminal. Mr. Briggs commended Appendix A of the interim report to the Board, remarking that it contained much vital information about the effect of terminals on the people who use them which should be taken into account in any technical study.
- ll. suggested that, even if the Board approved the recommendation, a prototype study and phased introduction of any

25X1

25**X**1

25**X**1

25X1

25**X**1

25**X**1

25X1

237

25X1

_ __ .

25X1

25X1	new equipment is the best way to proceed. agreed that prototyping is imperative if the users' long-term requirements, not ephemeral "wishes," were to be satisfied. He further criticized the
25X1	interim report for not including requirements and costing information. Mr. Rice reiterated that the group had not been given enough time to do a formal costing and requirements analysis.
	12. Mr. Briggs then suggested that Board members probably had not had sufficient time to discuss the merits of the Working Group's recommendations with their staffs and advisors and that the Board would devote the next meeting to a discussion of the issues just raised. He asked that Board members, after consulting with their staffs, express their opinions and judgments about the Working Group's recommendation in memoranda to him. He further asked the Executive Secretary of the Board to collate those memoranda and prepare an agenda for the next meeting that addresses all the issues
25X1 25X1	of concern to Board members. The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, 16 December 1983 at 1330 hours in the DCI Conferece Room.

